1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	***
6	AISHA PANKEY,
7	Plaintiff,)
8) 2:11-cv-00427-PMP-LRL v.)
9	R. EVANS,
10) Defendant.
11)
12	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION
13	Plaintiff submitted an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and a Complaint pursuant to
14	42 U.S.C. § 1983 (#1) on March 22, 2011. Upon granting her request to proceed in forma pauperis,
15	this court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), finding that plaintiff had failed to
16	set forth any particular facts or legal grounds for relief. The court, therefore, dismissed the Complaint
17	on June 7, 2011, and instructed plaintiff to amend the Complaint to cure the deficiencies listed by the
18	court. Order (#2). See Cato v. United States, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1985). Plaintiff was warned
19	that failure to adequately amend the Complaint by July 7, 2011, may result in dismissal. To date,
20	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or any other paper.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 2:11-cv-00427-PMP-LRL Document 4 Filed 07/12/11 Page 2 of 2

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, it is the recommendation of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge that this case be dismissed with prejudice.

DATED this 11th day of July, 2011.

LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE